



**In the High Court of Justice
Queen's Bench Division
Administrative Court**

CO Ref:

CO/2156/2019

In the matter of an application for Judicial Review

The Queen on the application of [REDACTED]

versus

The Government of the United Kingdom

**Application for permission to apply for Judicial Review
NOTIFICATION of the Judge's decision (CPR Part 54.11, 54.12)**

Following consideration of the documents lodged by the Claimant

Order by His Honour Judge Saffman sitting as a Judge of the High Court

- 1. Permission is hereby refused**
- 2. The application is considered to be totally without merit**
- 3. No order for costs**

Reasons for refusal:

1. The claimant seeks to review a decision simply to refer to the Ministry of Justice a letter sent by the claimant to the Prime Minister's office.
2. The claimant's statement of grounds set out in section 5 of the claim form allege that the Prime Minister failed to take subordinates "to task over their uncivil and inhumane behaviour of ignoring the claimant's correspondence and turning a blind eye to evidence of dysfunction in social care".
3. First, there is no basis upon which it can realistically be argued that referring a complaint to the government department with responsibility for the issues about which complaint is made is either irrational, unlawful, perverse, unfair or a breach process. The claim form does not argue that the Ministry of Justice was not the appropriate department to which to refer the claimant's complaints and that some other government department was.
4. Secondly, there is no evidence that the claimant herself has sufficient interest to make a claim, pursuant to Supreme Court Act 1981 section 31 (3). Whilst it is accepted that a public spirited citizen may be allowed to seek judicial review, that is only where there is a serious issue of public importance to be tried and the grounds of claim reveal no basis for contending that this is such a case.
5. Thirdly, the remedies sought as set out in section 10 of the claim form are not remedies which it is open to the court to award.
6. There is no basis upon which it could be realistically asserted that the decision to refer correspondence to the department thought to be responsible for the matters about which complaint is made is an infringement of any of the claimant's human rights

Reasons for finding the claim totally without merit

I repeat the reasons set out above but particularly those set out in paragraphs 3, 4, 5 and 6. I am satisfied that the claim is bound to fail in accordance with the guidance set out in R (Wasif) v Secretary Of State For Health [2017] EWHC 1211. I therefore refuse the application for permission to apply for judicial review.

Form JRJ 5 v. September 2017 Judicial Review Permission Refused AS TOTALLY WITHOUT MERIT [NLA claim]

**BY VIRTUE OF CPR 54.12(7) THE CLAIMANT MAY NOT REQUEST THAT THE
DECISION TO REFUSE PERMISSION BE RECONSIDERED AT A HEARING.**

Signed



The date of service of this order is calculated from the date in the section below

Dated 8th August 2019

Sent / ~~Handed~~ to the claimant, defendant and any interested party / the claimant's, defendant's, and any interested party's solicitors on (date): 12.08.19

Solicitors:

Ref No.